

## STATE OF TN – SOURCING EVENT# 34101-11375

### SCOPE OF WORK

#### ARCHITECTURAL SURVEY COLD WAR-ERA (1946-1991) READINESS CENTERS (RC) TENNESSEE ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

##### A. INTRODUCTION

The Tennessee Army National Guard (TNARNG), as an agent of the National Guard Bureau (NGB), is responsible for the prudent management of cultural resources on all of its properties. All TNARNG properties are managed in accordance with the various federal and state laws as delineated by the *Army National Guard Cultural Resources Handbook 2013* and the 5-year Integrated Cultural Resources Management Plan (ICRMP) 2019 respectively, which guides all activities that may impact cultural resources at a site. Survey data and associated maps are used for mission planning and cultural resources management, in addition to a variety of other planning purposes. Up to date baseline information on archaeological and architectural characteristics are essential to informed cultural resources management.

The TNARNG has a dual mission with responsibilities to the federal government and the state of Tennessee. This dual mission role was first established by the United States (U.S.) Constitution, and has been consistently reaffirmed by the U. S. Congress. As a full partner in the Total Force, the TNARNG has the federal mission to support and defend the Constitution of the U.S. This is accomplished by the deterrence of war and, if deterrence fails, by prompt mobilization of forces for war. These forces must be capable of achieving decisive victory as part of a joint team on the battlefield anywhere in the world and under virtually any condition. National Guard forces must also be prepared for response to federal emergencies.

The State mission of the TNARNG is to provide trained and disciplined forces for domestic emergencies or as otherwise required by state law. The National Guard is the nation's only community-based defense force, and the Guard's State mission includes a wide variety of community activities. The ability to achieve these missions depends directly on the location, condition, and protection of cultural resources at Volunteer Training Site (VTS) Catoosa, VTS Milan, VTS Smyrna, VTS Tullahoma and TNARNG Readiness Centers (RC) (formally known as armories) and any other lands or facilities used by, operated by, or operated on behalf of the Guard, regardless of who owns those lands.

There are a total of 92 RC's operated by the TNARNG in various locations throughout the state of Tennessee. These RC's encompass approximately 1,400 acres. This acreage estimate does not include the VTS's. These RC's can be divided into three different types of activities, and their locations within the communities depend upon the type of activities conducted at the RC. These types are as follows:

- . • Basic RC's
- . • Aircraft Related RC's
- . • FMS Maintenance Shops

The aircraft related RC's are co-located with airports. There are five (5) aircraft related RC's, and three (3) Army Aviation Support Facilities (AASF) at Berry Field, Jackson, and Louisville. Other RC's are generally located in suburban, rural or light industrial areas. Aviation facilities are collocated with airfields. Those located in airfield, light industrial or warehouse areas are surrounded by these activities. Wooded areas, farmland and low-density housing or light commercial developed areas surround those in rural or suburban areas.

## **A.1 Cold War-Era**

The Cold War had a direct result on military training and preparedness in the State of Tennessee. In 1950, Congress passed the National Defense Facilities Act, or in Tennessee, the Tennessee Armories Commission. As a result, the TNARNG went through a period of RC construction. The majority were constructed using standardized plans approved by the Department of Defense (DoD). These standardized plans continued to be utilized on into the 1960's even after the bill expired in 1959.

Like any other structure, RC's constructed during the Cold War began to age and were outdated in their ability to provide needed training and preparedness. The last Cold War-era RC built in Tennessee is at 28 years old. The TNARNG turned over most of the older RC's constructed between 1946-70 to the cities in which they were apart of and before they eclipsed the fifty (50) year benchmark for National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)-eligibility evaluations.

In 2018, the TNARNG began to address the aging Cold War-era RC's still in possession in anticipation for future renovations planning or for disposal/transfer of these properties. Over half of the Cold War-era RC's (30 of the total 50) were constructed in the 1980's and the TNARNG needs to be proactive in addressing all of their potential historical significance for future State compliance and Federal Section 106 actions. The TNARNG also needs to reevaluate the additional 20 RC's from the 1950-1979 period due to survey methodologies being considered inadequate and out of date with modern standards.

In order to be eligible for the NRHP, archaeological sites, districts, buildings, structures, or objects, must meet one of four criteria established by the National Park Service (NPS):

- (a) Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of history;
- (b) Associated with the lives of persons significant in the past;
- (c) Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction; represent the work of a master; possess high artistic value; or represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; and
- (d) Have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Additionally, the architectural resource must have integrity of "location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling and association" to convey its significance (36 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR], Part 60, Section 60.6).

Many of these RC's are standard plans and are similar to one another; therefore, it is the opinion of the Cultural Resources Manager that not all RC's should be identified as eligible for the NRHP listing and that only RC's possessing exemplary Cold War characteristics, represent single architectural style examples, and RC's that are tied to local history or events should be considered for NRHP-eligibility. Attachment 1 provides the location along with a few cultural characteristics of these Cold War-era RC's.

The TNARNG requests architectural/historian services (Contractor) for survey of 50 Cold War-era RC's found across the State of Tennessee in order to fulfill the requirements set out in Section 110 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). Conducting surveys and creating an inventory of resources are the first steps toward preservation of resources most important to our heritage and to help resource management practices concerning future mission requirements.

## **B. OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this Scope of Work (SOW) is to survey 50 Cold War-era RC's for prospective NRHP-eligible architectural resources. Although referred to as an architectural survey, a survey should look beyond buildings and the complex for which it is a part of to include all aspects of the environment that combine to form our historic landscape, including bridges, canals, outbuildings, cemeteries, parks, gardens, landscape features, etc. The information to be collected will be used for the continuation of the cultural resources management program in striving to compile cultural resources' site records and surveys. This will help further guide for site preservation practices and advance our Section 106 process for federal 'undertakings' consultations.

The following are the objectives of this statement of work:

1. Architectural survey of each Cold War-era RC (50) to modern standards while using the most established methodologies in accordance with the NHPA.
2. Archival research for each RC and the complex for which it is a part of (to include unit history) necessary to develop a historic context for the report of findings and aid in the evaluation process.
3. Complete photo and historic structure forms documentation of each RC
4. Draft a report of investigations. A complete historic context of the TNARNG RC's is not part of this scope; however, information pertaining to an RC's association, if any, with critical missions and local historical significance under the NRHP criteria would be completed so that recommendations can be made regarding eligibility.
5. Preparation of a comprehensive report summarizing the findings from the archival research, field investigations, a historic context, evaluation recommendations, and management recommendations in accordance with standards maintained by the Tennessee State Historic Preservation Office (TN-SHPO).

All stages of this study will follow the guidelines utilized by the National Park Service (NPS) as established by 36 CFR 61. Historians, Historic Preservationist, and/or architectural historians will meet the minimal professional qualifications for architectural resources as set forth in "Archaeology and Historic Preservation: Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines" (Federal Register, Volume 48, No. 190, September 29, 1983, Part IV, page 44739). All direct field supervision by a qualified professional historian is recommended for all architectural investigations of this project.

## **C. MAJOR REQUIREMENTS**

The following tasks must be completed for the Contractor to successfully accomplish the work under this delivery order:

### **C.1 Task 1: Project Kick-Off Meeting**

A Kick-Off Meeting will be performed in person with the TNARNG Point of Contact (POC) prior to commencement of work. At this time, the Contractor will be provided with background information relating to the study (i.e., previously completed evaluation survey reports, photographs, maps, etc.), if available. The TNARNG POC will be responsible for copying documents in cases where the original cannot be distributed to the Contractor for use during the execution of this SOW. This information will include the following:

- Maps showing the area of potential effect (APE) to be surveyed;
- Available topographic maps;
- Current aerial photography of each respective property;

- Previously conducted NHPA Section 110 NRHP evaluation surveys:
- Any additional requested information that is available and will assist in the architectural survey

Whenever possible, this information will be provided to the Contractor in electronic format. The Contractor will be responsible for reviewing all provided data. In addition, the Contractor may accompany TNARNG representatives on a field review of areas of cultural interest at each RC location. This field review will serve to introduce the Contractor to the RC environment.

Also, to be discussed at this meeting are the logistical needs of the project and deliverables. Following completion of the Kick-Off Meeting, the Contractor will prepare a summary letter, indicating an understanding of the current project and providing schedule for the archival research and field effort. The Contractor will need to coordinate their field schedule with the site POC so that areas can be scheduled using the Range Facility Management Support System (RFMSS). Due to safety and mission training requirements, scheduling may vary, so plenty of advanced notice is advised.

### **C.2 Task 2: Archival Research**

Archival research is conducted to document the historical context of an area as well as explore for any NRHP-eligible structures close by to the RC location. Initial archival research provides a basis for site documentation in advance of examinations of architectural resources at each project location. Such research is often undertaken at the beginning of a project to better understand the local land use at the project location and surrounding community (farming or agricultural land use, domestic land use, industrial land use, military land use, railroad-related land use, or other usage). Examples of research materials examined during the course of the study include the study of historical maps (Sanborn Fire Insurance Company Maps, old topographic and road maps, tax assessor's maps, etc.), deeds and property records, aerial photographs, and other documentation. The Contractor should perform the archival research prior to the field effort.

Research materials may be available from the TNARNG, but also will require additional research efforts by the Contractor at locations such as (but not limited to) the TN State Library and Archives; Universities of Tennessee Libraries; each individual counties' Tax Assessor's Office; each individual counties' Historical Society; and using online references as deemed credible. Results of this research should be used to compile a historic context for inclusion in the report of findings.

### **C.3 Task 3: Submission of Project Work Plan**

The Contractor will submit for review by the TNARNG POC a Project Work Plan detailing the scheduled stages of the project and the proposed methodology to be used in each phase. The work plan will be completed and approved by the TNARNG POC within one month following the kick-off meeting.

The methodology should be in accordance with scientific standards as established and outlined by 36 CFR 61 and set forth in "Archaeology and Historic Preservation: Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines" (Federal Register, Volume 48, No. 190, September 29, 1983, Part IV, page 44739). The TN-SHPO is available to consult with regarding appropriate architectural survey methodologies.

The Contractor is responsible for obtaining any permits and authorizations that may be required to complete this contract. Copies of all permits will be provided to the TNARNG POC within 30 days of issuance.

### **C.4 Task 4: Field Work**

This portion of the project will be performed by personnel that meet the minimal professional qualifications for architectural/historic preservation outlined by 36 CFR 61 and set forth in “Archaeology and Historic Preservation: Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines” (Federal Register, Volume 48, No. 190, September 29, 1983, Part IV, page 44739). The TN-SHPO is available to consult with regarding appropriate fieldwork survey practices and methods.

The Contractor will perform an architectural survey to identify any potential NRHP-eligible RC. Although referred to as an architectural survey, this survey will look beyond buildings and the complex for which it is a part of to include all aspects of the environment that combine to form our historic landscape, including bridges, canals, outbuildings, cemeteries, parks, gardens, landscape features, etc. RC’s aged 50 years or older will require a complete NHPA evaluation for the NRHP while applying the National Register eligibility criteria established by the NPS. For RC’s that fall between the ages of 40-49, treat the evaluation procedures as you would a 50+ year old structure, while applying *Criteria Consideration G: Properties having Achieved Significance within the Last Fifty Years*. All other RC’s 39 years and younger necessitate a recommendation to why or why not the RC will or will not be considered a nominee for the NRHP now or possibly in the future.

This survey will involve a close and careful look at the resources included within the examined area. This survey type is designed to precisely and completely identify all resources within a given area. It involves detailed background research and a thorough inspection and documentation of all historic resources in the survey area. Evaluation survey provides sufficient information to prepare a comprehensive historic inventory and evaluate the resources’ eligibility for listing in the National Register.

Daily field notes and photographs must be recorded to document all survey activities and observations. All features should be recorded with accuracy using a Global Positioning System (GPS -see C.6. Task 6 for specifications for collection perimeters) apparatus. Photographs should be made with clear quality, high resolution (6-10 megapixels/300 dpi) photographs; a photographic catalog (photo log) should be made with the photographs to document proveniences.

Architectural surveys must also identify the existence of potential archaeological resources. This could be notation of above ground remnants or ruins or the former location of above ground resources that are no longer extant based on an examination of historic mapping or previous documentation in the field. However, the documentation of archaeological resources should be provided in a separate submission from the architectural survey. Standing structures or structural remains found in association with subsurface archaeological deposits should be recorded accordingly.

If human remains are discovered in the course of fieldwork, **cease all work** in the area of the discovery and contact the TNARNG POC **immediately**. The TNARNG POC will follow the Inadvertent Discoveries Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) and contact the State Archaeologist and local police authorities.

TNARNG Project Personnel will complete a site visit of all cultural resources being investigated by the Contractor concurrent to the review of the draft report. This field check of these sites is required for management purposes and as part of the QA/QC for the TNARNG.

### **C.5 Task 5: Reporting**

The project will include the preparation of a draft report and a final report detailing the results of the archival research and the field work. The draft report must be completed after completion of the archival research and field work, and must include a copy of the draft GIS data to populate the TNARNG GIS geo-database. The final report must be completed incorporating any comments from the TNARNG POC

and the TN-SHPO and must include the allotted numbers of DVD's (see section F-deliverables) to complete populating the final TNARNG GIS geo-database submittal.

The report will follow the general standards set forth in "Archaeology and Historic Preservation: Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines" (Federal Register, Volume 48, No. 190, September 29, 1983, Part IV, page 44739). As well as in the *Tennessee SHPO Standards and Guidelines for Archaeological Resource Management Studies* (October 2018). The report shall include a title page; management summary; table of contents; introduction; literature/document search; environmental setting description; research/field/laboratory methodology; GIS methodology; the results of the investigation; a historic context within which to reasonably address, and provide a preliminary interpretation or evaluation recommendation for each site; and copies of completed (typed) State Archaeological Site Forms.

Recommendations should include whether the RC is eligible for the NRHP or not, and include guidance for avoidance, protection/stabilization, or data recovery for future mitigation of adverse effects.

**Recommendations for "undetermined" will not be accepted.**

Upon acceptance of the draft report and its recommendations by the TNARNG, a final will be prepared. Contractor will not submit any draft or final reports to the TN-SHPO. The Contractor will submit reports to the TNARNG POC for review; submittal to the TN-SHPO will be done by the TNARNG POC.

Amended site forms should be made for any previously recorded archaeological sites visited through the course of the survey, and new site forms shall be prepared for newly encountered sites.

The Contractor will submit artifacts to the TNARNG POC as "curation ready," in accordance with 36 CFR 79.

### **C.6 Task 6: Geographic Information System (GIS) Database**

Geospatial data collection should entail the use of mapping grade or better global positioning systems. Sub-meter accuracy must be maintained for all cultural data collected, and the final deliverable will include a GIS Methodology describing collection methodologies, real time differential corrections, and/or post processing methodologies. The TNARNG Environmental GIS Program Manager will provide Contractor with the required geo-database template(s) and accumulated background GIS data necessary to support the current contract, along with an informative whitepaper describing acceptable data codes and required data population fields if necessary.

GIS data submittals shall adhere to the following data standards: FGDC metadata compliant (<http://www.fgdc.gov/metadata>), populated completely, SDSFIE 3.0 or above, compliant (<http://www.sdsfie.org/>) and AR 115-13. All GPS points must be collected at sub-meter accuracy or better with real time correction as well as post processed for accuracy verification. Additionally, GPS data shall be collected with a PDOP of 4 or better. Recommend that the data be collected with a Trimble Pro-6H or equivalent device. Coordinate system and datum shall be WGS1984, UTM, zones 16N or 17N depending on location.

Acceptable file formats for GIS data submittals are ESRI ArcGIS 10.3 or above, File Geodatabase. All new data collected during the project must be post-processed to achieve sub-meter accuracy. Project data should be mapped in Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM Zone 16N) and referenced to the WGS 84 datum.

A DRAFT GIS deliverable is required (Task 4a), and should accompany any documentation (i.e., survey reports) submitted for review. GIS data acquired during the course of the study will be submitted for

review by the TNARNG GIS Program Manager along with the draft document. DRAFT GIS deliverables will be checked for accuracy and completeness, including feature geometry, attributes, and metadata requirements. Following review, at least two copies of the updated GIS data must be included with the FINAL deliverables for the project on DVD without licensing or usage restrictions.

Contractor will coordinate with TNARNG GIS Section prior to initiating any field survey that requires GIS/GPS data collection allowing sufficient lead time for the GIS Section to observe data collection during field work if desired for collaboration and compatibility measures.

### **C.7 Task 7: Curation and Delivery of Documentation**

All information collected during the implementation of this project becomes property of TNARNG and the Department of Defense (DoD). This includes field records, laboratory records, artifacts, audio/visual media, recordings, footage, still pictures, maps, GIS data, databases, photographic catalogs, artifact catalogs, and other materials collected, produced or acquired with project funding. Deliverables will not be subject to any kind of license, copyright, or other encumbrance. Materials produced using TNARNG/DoD funding will not be sold for a profit. Artifacts must be cleaned, bagged, and labeled by provenance prior to curation; curation requirements will follow standards established under 36 CFR 79 (Curation of Federally-Owned and Administered Archaeological Collections). These artifacts will be turned over to the TNARNG POC for curation with the TN-SHPO.

One hard and one electronic copy (the one electronic copy can be submitted through an email .pdf or a safe and secure file transfer site; Army uses ARL SAFE- <https://safe.arl.army.mil>, or AMRDEC- <https://safe.amrdec.army.mil/SAFE/>) of the DRAFT report, along with the GIS draft data (electronic submittal only) will be submitted to the TNARNG POC for review to ensure all needed information is included. The draft is due two months after completion of fieldwork.

Comments on the draft report will be returned to the Contractor within six weeks of receipt. Following receipt of these comments, the Contractor has one month or until the end of the contract (one (1) year from initiation) to complete any changes and submit the final report.

Seven hard copies and seven electronic copies of the FINAL report will be submitted to cover TNARNG's needs as well as the needs of the TN-SHPO. The preferred format for the actual report is the optical character recognition enabled Portable Document Format (.pdf), with other supporting documentation in editable file formats. All DVD's must be labeled with the project name and number and submitted with the hard copies of the deliverables. The electronic copies should be presented on DVD. All data will be in editable files that can be effectively incorporated into the TNARNG GIS system. Read-only files are not acceptable.

## **D. GOVERNMENT FURNISHED INFORMATION OR MATERIAL**

The TNARNG shall furnish access to all requested data relevant to this project that is under its control. This will include topographic maps and environmental data about the site, if available. The TNARNG will provide access to the installation for the necessary field work; however, the Contractor must notify the TNARNG POC about the dates and times scheduled for field work on the property.

## **E. CONTRACTOR REQUIREMENTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES**

The contract work shall be performed by or under the direct supervision of personnel who are professionally qualified in architectural/historic preservation as set forth in "Archaeology and Historic Preservation: Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines."

The Contractor shall furnish, except as noted, all labor, materials, equipment, tools, transportation, communications, and supplies required to complete the work in accordance with project specifications, terms of the contract, and this Scope of Work.

The contractor shall obtain all permits and authorizations that may be required to complete this contract. Copies of permits will be furnished to the TNARNG POC within 30 days of issuance.

All information and data provided by TNARNG to the Contractor is for the purpose of fulfilling this contract. This information is to be protected by the Contractor; it is not to be distributed or utilized for any other purpose under penalty of law.

Upon completion of the Project, the Contractor shall protect all maps, photographs, specimen materials, studies, surveys, inventories, evaluations, plans, and project reports obtained or collected during the course of the Contract work in accordance with all regulations, laws and statutes. Copies of reports and applicable related materials will be furnished to the TNARNG upon completion of the Contract.

Publication of results from this project is possible only with written permission and cooperation from the TNARNG Environmental Office. Any manuscript must be approved by the TNARNG POC and the TNARNG Public Affairs Office (PAO) prior to submission for publication.

## **F. DELIVERABLES**

All maps, historic documents and other items borrowed from the TNARNG or other contractors under contract to the TNARNG are the property of the TNARNG and shall be returned to the TNARNG upon completion of this Contract. The deliverables due in fulfillment of this Contract are summarized below:

### **F.1 Deliverables Description**

<b>Task</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Deliverables</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Kick-Off Meeting</b>	a) Attend meeting b) Summary Letter (1 electronic copy)
<b>2</b>	<b>Archival Research</b>	a) Incorporate into report of findings b) Any materials photocopied, books purchased, newspaper articles, photographs, maps or other materials produced, acquired or obtained during the archival research.
<b>3</b>	<b>Work Plan Submission</b>	a) Project Work Plan detailing survey methodologies b) Copies of all permits and authorizations that are obtained during the course of the contract work
<b>4</b>	<b>Field Effort</b>	a) 1 copy of original field notes b) 1 copy of site maps and maps of survey areas c) 1 copy of photography log d) 1 copy of GIS data (see task 6) e) Incorporate into report of findings

<b>5</b>	<b>Report of Findings</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Draft report of findings (incorporating results of archival research, field effort and oral histories; and providing eligibility determinations for sites investigated) – 1 hard copy and 1 electronic copy</li> <li>b) Final report of findings (incorporating results of archival research, field effort and oral histories; providing eligibility determinations for sites investigated; and incorporating any comments from draft documents) – 7 hard copies and 7 electronic copies</li> </ul>
<b>6</b>	<b>GIS data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Fully completed geodatabase that is FGDC compliant, metadata conforming to SDSFIE requirements, and fully compatible with ESRI products. All data must be post-processed using sub-meter accuracy; UTM Zone 16N; referenced to WGS 84 datum. The data must be checked for accuracy and completeness, including feature geometry, attributes, and metadata requirements</li> <li>b) Updated from field check QA/QC data from TNARNG</li> <li>c) Populated SDSFIE compliant geo-database.</li> <li>d) After DRAFT, 1 electronic copy of geodatabase for review (with 6a requests)</li> <li>e) 2 copies of FINAL geodatabase on DVD (with 6a requests)</li> <li>f) NOTE: Templates will be provided to Contractor from Tennessee Army National Guard GIS if necessary</li> </ul>
<b>7</b>	<b>Curation and Delivery of documentation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) All artifacts, completed structure forms from collection</li> <li>b) All curation materials / artifacts, photographs / photologs, GIS data and other items collected during study</li> <li>c) All copies of field notes or other material not provided during subsequent tasks.</li> </ul>

## F.2 Deliverables Compensation

The Contractor shall be compensated based upon the following payment methodology:

<b>Goods or Services Description</b>	<b>Contract Percentage</b>
<b>Tasks 1-3: (Kick-Off Meeting, Archival Research, and Work Plan Submission)</b> within thirty days from kick-off meeting	<b>25%</b>
<b>Task 4: Field Effort</b>	<b>30%</b>
<b>Tasks 5-6 a, a: Draft Report and Draft GIS Data</b> within one month of the completion of fieldwork	<b>15%</b>
<b>Tasks 5-6 b, d: Final Report and Final GIS</b> within one month or up to the contract completion	<b>20%</b>
<b>Task 7: Curation Materials</b>	<b>10%</b>

## G. MEETINGS AND REVIEWS

Consultations and meetings will be conducted as necessary. At a minimum, this will include:

1. Kick-Off meeting – JFHQ, Nashville, TN in-person, or on-site in-person if appropriate.
2. Review of Project Work Plan – may be conducted in person or via phone/email.

3. Monthly progress report reviews – may be conducted in person or via phone/email.
4. Draft plan review – may be conducted in person or via phone/email.
5. Review of Final Report.

## **H. TECHNICAL REPRESENTATIVE**

The TNARNG technical representative under this Contract is Gregory Turner at (615)313-0765. All material submissions are to be sent to Mr. Turner at the following address:

**Tennessee Army National Guard  
Construction and Facilities Maintenance Office – Environmental Division  
ATTN: Jonathan Guilford  
3041 Sidco Drive  
Nashville, TN 37204-4505**

On-site personnel and contact information will be provided as a separate handout. All visits to each individual RC by the Contractor should be coordinated through the applicable personnel with any assistance from the CRM as necessary during site visit logistics.

No TNARNG personnel, other than the Contracting Officer, shall have the authority to change or alter these requirements. The Technical Representative shall clarify technical points or supply relevant technical information, but no requirements in this statement of work or the scope of work may be altered as a sole result of such verbal clarification.

## **I. PERIOD OF SERVICE**

The Contract Period of service for this contract shall be 3/1/2020 to 2/28/2022 from notice to proceed.

### Cold War-Era Readiness Center's

Construction Date	Readiness Center (RC)	Age	NRHP-Eligibility	In Honor Of	IFR Yes/No	Similar To
1954	Tullahoma	65	DNE	No	Yes	None
1957	McMinnville	62	NREI	No	No	Rockwood
1957	Rockwood	62	NREI	No	Yes	McMinnville
1959	Knoxville-Sutherland	60	NREI	Col. Russell A. Newman	No	Knoxville-Concord
1960	Bristol	59	DNE	No	No	Camden, Waverly
1961	Camden	58	DNE	No	No	Bristol, Waverly
1961	Waverly	58	?	No	No	Bristol, Camden
1962	Crossville	57	DNE	No	No	Clarksville, Russellville, Union City
1962	Nashville	57	DNE	Clement/Nunally	No	None
1962	Russellville	57	DNE	Carroll Reece	No	Clarksville, Crossville, Union City
1962	Union City	57	?	John Tanner	No	Crossville, Clarksville, Russellville
1964	Parsons	55	NREI	No	No	None
1972	Clarksville	47	-	Edward M. Norton	Yes	Crossville, Russellville, Union City
1974	Cookeville	45	-	No	Yes	Dickson
1975	Centerville	44	-	No	Yes	None
1976	Columbia	43	-	No	Yes	None
1976	Dickson	43	-	Neil M. Hurt	Yes	Cookeville
1978	Lawrenceburg	41	-	No	Yes	None
1978	Maryville	41	-	No	Yes	None
1979	Cleveland	40	-	James W. Blackburn	Yes	None
1980	Athens	39	-	No	Yes	None
1980	Shelbyville	39	-	Hillard C. Gardner	Yes	None
1981	Greeneville	38	-	No	Yes	None
1983	Alamo	36	-	Lt. Gen John G. Castellaw	Yes	None
1983	Lexington	36	-	William P. (Dick) Veteto	Yes	None

Construction Date	Readiness Center (RC)	Age	NRHP-Eligibility	In Honor Of	IFR Yes/No	Similar To
1983	Memphis	36	-	William L. Terry	Yes	None
1984	Newport	35	-	No	Yes	None
1984	Ripley	35	-	Phillip R. Miller/MG Gus Hargett Jr.	Yes	None
1985	Dayton	34	-	No	Yes	None
1985	Lewisburg	34	-	McCormick Hardison	Yes	None
1985	Murfreesboro	34	-	1 LT William E. Emmert	Yes	None
1985	Sweetwater	34	-	Lieurance/Hunt	Yes	None
1985	Waynesboro	34	-	No	Yes	Savannah
1986	Elizabethton	33	-	No	Yes	None
1986	Gallatin	33	-	No	Yes	None
1986	Lebanon	33	-	MG Carl D. Wallace	Yes	None
1986	Louisville	33	-	No	No	None
1986	Savannah	33	-	William Gordon Rhodes	Yes	Waynesboro
1987	Jamestown	32	-	SGT Alvin C. York	Yes	None
1987	Lafayette	32	-	No	Yes	None
1987	McKenzie	32	-	No	Yes	None
1987	Millington	32	-	Paul R. Summers	Yes	None
1987	Paris	32	-	Vernon McGarity	Yes	None
1987	Sparta	32	-	SGM Jessie Robert Cooper	Yes	None
1988	Hohenwald	31	-	SGT Robert D. Rowe	Yes	None
1989	Bolivar	30	-	Howard Vincent Black Sr.	Yes	Dyersburg
1989	Dresden	30	-	Gov. Ned Ray McWherter	Yes	None
1989	Dyersburg	30	-	1 LT. James A. Gardner	Yes	Bolivar
1989	Pulaski	30	-	MG Joseph W. Henry	Yes	None
1991	Trenton	28	-	No	No	None

## END OF SCOPE OF WORK